

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,762	09/01/2006	Timo Tokkonen	KOL.221.WUS	7880
76385 Hollingsworth	7590 07/06/200 & Funk, LLC	EXAMINER		
8009 34th Ave		DAGLAWI, AMAR A		
Suite 125 Minneapolis, I	MN 54425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/591,762	TOKKONEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AMAR DAGLAWI	2618		

	AMAR DAGLAWI	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C gendos:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) \( \frac{1}{2} \) The period for reply expires \( \frac{9}{2} \) months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  (c) T	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s).  \[ \subseteq \text{iny loss} = \text{or popeal}, \text{ the proposed amendment(s); a) }  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \[ \text{Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.6-11.13-21.23.26.27</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach-	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618	Amar Daglawi Examiner		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Back et al (US 6.65.586) radials to teach "executing software instructions read from said at least one radio frequency tag part to perform a device operation that is described in the user manual in connection with said at least one radio frequency tag and that the dynamic content associated with a page of a book is stored and controlled by the page management system and that no software instructions read from radio frequency tags. However, Event though Back does not explicitly state software instructions are read from said radio frequency tag. the Examine where the expensive state is well know in the art that identification tags in which for instance such as those developed by Texas instruments (the Tag-Littu) have embedded software instructions (RFID transceiver) which when read by a reader, the reader executes information embedded in the tag (see co.l.3, lines 13-45). These identifier tags are embedded in pages of a document and each of these identifier tags identifies the particular page that a reader is viewing and the page identification management system determines whether the page identifications have related dynamic content. If there is dynamic content associated with the page identifications, the page identification management system forwards control information to the appropriate dynamic content devices such as a personal computer.